

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/557,176		04/21/2000	Kentaro Kawakami	000549	6524
23850	7590	03/17/2003			
	•	STERMAN & HA	EXAMINER		
1725 K STR SUITE 1000	•	,	NGUYEN, THUKHANH T		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006					
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1722	.11
				DATE MAILED: 03/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
- Advisory Action	09/557,176	KAWAKAMI ET AL.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thu Khanh T. Nguyen	1722
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount he shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension priginally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in f the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		••
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	•	,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	•	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: Changing rotary trowel to a trowel with cy	lindrical shaped working surface is	<u>a new issue</u> .
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see	reconsideration has been consid attachment.	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) $\ $ uld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 4-10.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-3 and 11-1	<u>16</u> .	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	 a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappr	oved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement		•
10. ☐ Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
_		

Application/Control Number: 09/557,176

Art Unit: 1722

ADVISORY ACTION

 The Applicants asserted that Braid fails to disclose a trowel with a cylindrical working surface. This limitation was not disclosed in the original claim.

- 2. In regard to the ring shaped member, the Applicants alleged that Braid's ring shaped member is movable and be a part of the rotary trowel, but not a part of the mold as claimed. The ring members (8 and 39), however, co-operate with the mold (1) to form a molding cavity (2). Therefore, the ring members are part of the mold. Further, the claims do not require that the ring member is stationary and fixedly attached to the mold member.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Khanh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-7167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 6:30-4:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

TN March 14, 2003

ROBERT DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1360

3/14/03